

***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***



***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
RICHARD MONAHAN	1 BANBERRY ROAD	
HERBERT MCFAY	2 GULL ROAD	

State of New York,  
Court of Appeals

At a session of the Court, held at Court of  
Appeals Hall in the City of Albany  
on the sixth day  
of May 2004

Present, HON. JUDITH S. KAYE, Chief Judge, presiding.


---

Mo. No. 277  
The People &c., Respondent,  
v.  
Stuart Bennett Vorpahl, Appellant,  
Town of Montauk, Inc., Intervenor.

---

A motion for leave to intervene &c. in the above cause having been heretofore made upon the part of the intervenor herein and papers having been submitted thereon and due deliberation having been thereupon had, it is

ORDERED, that the said motion for leave to intervene be and the same hereby is dismissed upon the ground that Robert A. Ficalora is not an attorney authorized to represent Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks/Montauk Trustee Corporation on behalf of the Incorporated Township of Montauk (CPLR 321[a]).

  
Stuart M. Cohen  
Clerk of the Court

---


*Honorable Magistrates of the Court<sup>1</sup>,*


In these past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks Corporation has acted according to its incorporated purposes, and its claim of assumption of the powers at law of the 1852 Montauk Trustee Corporation, for and on behalf of the proprietors of Montauk to present to the court issues regarding our extensive properties, our sovereign rights of a township and of our sufferings under unlawful government.

In consideration of this situation, and with an attentive eye to the needs of this court, in December of 2000 our board of acting trustees adopted a Constitution for Montauk entitled "The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk" (Montauk Constitution). As set forth therein, we ARE RETURNING to government by town meeting in the same manner as our forebears and other towns of New England. What is set forth in the Montauk Constitution is the process of a "Representative Town Meeting" (RTM) such as currently enjoyed by forty two Massachusetts towns, seven Connecticut towns, one Maine town and one Vermont town.

We do beg the court to understand that we have lost no war, and we haven't done anything wrong that we know of, but the very special liberties and privileges at law of our town - fundamental rights of sovereignty - have been suppressed and a new and despotic form of government has been imposed upon us. We do pray for the rule of law and your understanding that our complaint is a matter that can and must be equitably settled.

Acting trustees of Montauk:

The block contains seven handwritten signatures of acting trustees, arranged in two rows. The first row has three signatures: a stylized signature, "Richard A. Wood", and "Constance Johnson". The second row has four signatures: "John Jay Jayen Jr.", "Lisa Gump", "Christine Lawson", and "Ellen Ficalora".

  
Acting supervisor,  
Incorporated Township of Montauk

November 5, 2002

<sup>1</sup> For delivery to the N.Y. Court of Appeals (Grucci), Appellate Term (Vorpahl) & others.

August 17th, 2007 Montauk Freeholders' Petition

Name	Property Address	Signature
Peter Varratos	201 West Lake Dr	Peter Varratos
E. Cookiz Cass	137 W. Lake Dr	E. Cookiz Cass
Jean M. Michelini	33 Beach Plum Rd	Jean M. Michelini
Shawn Miller	29 South Ferncroft Pl	Shawn Miller
Helen Grella	320 South Ferncroft Pl.	Helen Grella
Michael Grella	32 SOUTH FERNCROFT	Michael Grella
SUZANNE FAUMBO	179 Fairview Ave	Suzanne Faumbo
LISA Grenici	135 Inthill Rod	Lisa Grenici
Gene Coleman	58 S-Erie ave	Gene Coleman
Tina Oetark	89 Gannet Dr.	Tina Oetark
DETLEV ZIMMERMANN	12 CASWELL Rd	Detlev Zimmermann
William Grimm	34 Madison Hill Dr	William Grimm
Rebecca Arluca	143 TOTHILL RD	Rebecca Arluca
ROBERT FLORES	107 MONROE DR	Robert Flores
Rebecca Charon	653 Old MtK Hwy	Rebecca Charon
CHUCK MALLINSON	10 SOUTH ENOICOTT TEE	Chuck Mallinson
Gene K...	64 Glenmore Ave	Gene K...
Marshall...	37 Fairbank Dr	Marshall...
Richard M...	29 West Lake Dr	Richard M...
Chris Zoffness	707 Old MtK Hwy	Chris Zoffness
Ellen M. Elliott	167 S 18 Pinetree Dr Spandvian Dr.	Ellen M. Elliott
Burton McPhee	27 Ridge Pl.	Burton McPhee
Helen...	120 Old West Lake Dr	Helen...
James...	63 DEWORM ST	James...
JEAN PETROKOS	100 De Forest Rd	Jean Petros
Tom Decker	30 DEFT PLAINSD	Tom Decker
Mayra Vargas	16 South Fenmore St.	Mayra Vargas

August 17th, 2007 Montauk Freeholders' Petition

Name	Property Address	Signature
Frank Martucci	747 Old Montauk Highway	[Signature]
LOUIE TALLARICO	57 PARADISE LN	[Signature]
Margaret Bowd	25 Fairview Dr	[Signature]
Thomas Rutkowski	849 Montauk Highway	[Signature]
Joe Andriachi	27 Bisban Road	[Signature]
Donna LeMorne	15 Ditch Plains Rd	[Signature]
Carol Nye	155 Essex St	[Signature]
Josia Nye	155 Essex St	[Signature]
Sore Bekwith	205 W Lake Drive	[Signature]
Jamie Logie	17 Gates Ave,	Montauk NY 11954
Rosemary Caroll	18 Holly Street	Montauk 11954
Richard St. White	6 Beech Hollow Ct	Montauk 11954
Suzanne Smith	16 Langel Place	Montauk 11954
Cheryl Smith	16 Suyen Pl	Montauk 11954
Walter Musco	35 Post Beet Hill Rd	Montauk 11954
ROGER FOST	34 Hoppin Ave	Montauk 11954
MARIE KANDEL	414 W. Lark Drive	Montauk 11954
Dawn M Partell	43 Otis Rd	Montauk 11954
Dody Baruch	80 Essex St	Montauk 11954
Lady Shiner	36 North Shore Rd.	Montauk NY
Lee White	12 Fairview Ave	Montauk NY
M. McAleer	123 FAIRVIEW AVE	MONTAUK, NY
WALTER HALUCHE	166 Fairview Ave	Montauk NY

***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***


***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
ANNETTE M. LAPIANA	18 BALTON PL.	

***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***


***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
KEM WALLIS	626 MONTAUK HWY MONTAUK, N.Y. 11954	

***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***

***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
EVELYN WALLIS	35 FENTWOOD RD MONTAUK, N.Y. 11954	Evelyn Wallis



***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***


***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
ROBERT J. LABIANA	18 GALTON PL MONTAUK	

***At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:***

***To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.***

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
Carla Grimm	34 Madison Hill Dr.	Carla M. Grimm

August 17th, 2007 Montauk Freeholders' Petition

*At and subsequent to a noticed meeting of Montauk freeholders held August 16th, 2007:*

*To Honorable Governor Elliot Spitzer and the most Honorable Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye, and Judges Carmen Beauchamp Ciparick, Victoria A. Graffeo, Robert S. Smith, Theodore T. Jones, Susan P. Read, and Eugene F. Pigott, Jr. of the New York Supreme Court, Court of Appeals.*

Over the past several years the Montauk Friends of Olmsted Parks / Montauk Trustee Corporation has humbly submitted appeals and petitions to the honorable governor and this most honorable court grieving of illegal, unlawful and injurious government over Montauk.

In June of 2002 we did serve a petition upon the court that attached our December 2000 resolution entitled The Articulated Rights and Constitution of the Township of Montauk. That document set forth the basis and nature of our claims and a lawful frame of government under the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the State of New York

A decision of significance was entered by this court in the fishing rights matter in re: Stuart Bennett Vorpahl wherein our corporation was caused to intervene as the Incorporated Township of Montauk. The resulting clear and unambiguous decision of this court dated May sixth, 2004, was much to our satisfaction (2 N.Y.3rd 781) and the corporation did then resolve to properly bring Montauk freeholders' cause from the trial court back up to this court. We are pleased that the matter is now ripe for determination by you; our motion to this court will be filed in accordance with rule 500.22.

The town board entity of the Town of Easthampton is unable show how it can claim to make our laws, collect our taxes or control our land use and police. We do pray that our appeal will be allowed and that we shall have a hearing before you in open court with the opportunity for all Montauk taxpayers to be present.

Name	Property Address	Signature
John Jay Sayers, Jr	38 Big Reed Path, Montauk	<i>John Jay Sayers, Jr</i>
VIRGINIA M SAYERS	30 B 16 REED PATH MONTAUK	<i>Virginia M Sayers</i>